DAVID A. THOMPSON STECKLOW & THOMPSON

217 CENTRE STREET, 6TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10013

TEL: (212) 566-8000 FAX: (212) 202-4952 DAVE@SCTLAW.NYC

November 12, 2015

BY ECF

Chief Judge Loretta A. Preska District Court of the Southern District of New York 500 Pearl St. New York, NY 10007

Re: Pluma v. The City of New York, 13-cv-2017 (LAP)

Dear Honorable Chief Judge Preska:

This correspondence concerns the briefing schedule related to docket entries 75, 78, 79 and 81. During the conference call of 11/9/2015, this Court ordered that a supplemental briefing schedule be agreed upon by the parties, and that the Court anticipated the schedule to be a short one.

Plaintiff has asked Defendants to submit their moving brief in November. That would mean a moving schedule of at most twenty-one (21) days from the date of the conference. The Defendants have submitted a lengthier briefing schedule of:

- 1. Defendant Amended Notice and Supplemental Memo of Law -December 9 (30 days)
- 2. Plaintiff's Opposition December 23 (14 days)
- 3. Defendant's Reply January 7, 2016 (15 days)

Quite simply, Plaintiff can abide by this schedule but notes an objection for the record to this lengthy briefing schedule.

Respectfully Submitted,

vid Thompson

cc: ACC Andrew Lucas, Esq. 100 Church Street
New York, N.Y. 10007